

Topologically Engineered Thermal Energy Storage for Carnot Batteries

Dr Adriano Sciacovelli

Associate Professor,

University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK,

School of Chemical Engineering, Birmingham Energy Institute

<u>a.sciacovelli@bham.ac.uk</u>









Mix – MoXes

Carnot Battery

□ A "Multi-energy Hub" beyond Electricity-to-Electricity storage

□ For industrial decarbonization, energy districts, and grid storage



Thermal Energy Storage – Key component of Carnot Batteries

Growing evidence that "unconventional" TES configurations are beneficial/needed for CBs

Use of advanced TES materials (PCMs, TCS); Strong coupling with operation fluid-machines (e.g. HP, ORCs, etc);



Topological Engineered Thermochemical Energy Storage (I)

- **At fundamental level transient heat and mass transfer govern the performance**; ($\lambda_{bed} \sim 0.2-1 \text{ W/m/K}$); ($K_{bed} \sim 1E-10 1E-14 \text{ m}^2$)
- □ Theoretical performance of TCS systems are thermodynamic-path dependent



Traditional approach to TES development vs Top. Optimization



Topological Engineered Thermochemical Energy Storage (II)

- □ fundamental answer to: what is the best conceivable TCS Reactor designs?
- □ It also offer a novel approach to develop and optimize TCS reactors



How to describe topology? Material distribution



• The use of a continuous variable allows for gradient-based optimizers, which are computationally robust. However, artificial laws need to be adopted for intermediate material properties:



Pizzolato A, [...], **Sciacovelli A**, *Energy* 2020 ;203:114797. Humbert G, **Sciacovelli A**, *Journal of Energy Storage* 2023;64:107132; [1] M.P. Bendsoe, O Sigmund, 2002

The local physics of the problem \rightarrow now it is design-dependent

The description of material distribution is fully embedded into the physical description of the problem



Putting everything together into suitable optimization algorithm: Design evolves freely – no initial guess



Topological Designs outperform traditional configurations (I)

For the MUM problem: Packing factor is 10% (as benchmark). The EOM problem generated a packing factor of 18%.



Humbert G, Sciacovelli A, Journal of Energy Storage 2023;64:107132. Ge R, [..], Sciacovelli A, Applied Thermal Engineering, 2020;180:115878; Pizzolato A, [...], Sciacovelli A, Energy 2020;203:114797.

Larger amount of material for heat Exchanger improves effective energy storage density

EOM allows higher effective energy storage density even if the amount of HEX material is higher (i.e. less thermochemical storage material)



Influence of design factors is automatically accounted \rightarrow example: Effect of material porosity on topology

□ Lower amount of HEX material and thicker fins are preferrable for less packed materials (lower porosity).



Topological engineering for thermo-fluid problems: Generality

- **TO** generality: discovery of designs for whole class of thermo-fluid problems
 - **Example:** open TCS reactors



The material distribution is the design variable

Topological Designs outperform traditional configurations (I)







 $\eta_{ex} \rightarrow +210\%$

Wrap up - Contributions

- □ Offers a novel approach to find optimal TES configurations *It demonstrated that* configurations found outperform traditional devices by ~50 250%
- Provides new insight on what fundamentally governs optimal performance, and demonstrated that some 'established' general guidelines are NOT true in general
- Demonstrate that advancements at component-scale (HEXs, reactor) are essential and that there are ample margins to gain

Dr Adriano Sciacovelli

University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK, <u>a.sciacovelli@bham.ac.uk</u>



Topology optimization & Additive manufacturing

□ AM HX will be bigger and bigger & faster and faster

- 'Old' 3D printers (2018): X-Line (US), MetalFab (Netherlands), TS500 (China): ~ 500 x 500 x 500 mm³
- New 3D (2023): Sapphire/Velo 3D (US), NXG XII (DE), M1250: 1200 x 1200 x 1200 mm³ & 12 lasers



