Monetizing Medium Duration Storage

Iain Staffell, Imperial College London

i.staffell@imperial.ac.uk @iain_staffell

12 January 2024

MDES 2024

Renewables are the future of electricity generation

What will be needed:



What is already happening:



Data from <u>BloombergNEF</u>

So the UK may need 24–46 GW of electricity storage by 2040

'Leading the way' scenario from National Grid's Future Energy Scenarios

- Year: 2040
- Electricity demand: 450 TWh
- Peak demand: 95 GW
- Share of wind and solar: ~80%



You will need 29 GW of storage [24 - 46 best estimate]

... that means 170–570 GWh energy storage capacity

'Leading the way' scenario from National Grid's Future Energy Scenarios

- Year: 2040
- Electricity demand: 450 TWh
- Peak demand: 95 GW
- Share of wind and solar: ~80%



You will need 220 GWh of storage [170 – 570 best estimate]

So... which technology will we use?



Storage provides economic value from various applications



Storage provides economic value from various applications



Short-duration markets for ancillary services are relatively shallow...



But spot market arbitrage is not yet profitable, especially as you move to longer durations



There is limited value to moving energy between seasons, going beyond 8-hour arbitrage adds little to profitability



(a) **Profit (USD/kW-year)**

Based on day-ahead wholesale prices from 2012-19 in various markets

Different technologies are best suited to these different applications and durations



Energy capacity



- (ST) Inter-seasonal storage (not currently monetized)
- (RL) Power reliability
- (TD) Transmission & distribution investment deferral
- (RE) Renewables integration
- (SC) Increasing self-consumption
- (PC) Peaking capacity
- (EA) Energy arbitrage
- (BS) Black start
- (DR) Demand charge reduction
- (CM) Congestion management
- (FS) Frequency response (ramping / inertia)
- (FG) Frequency regulation (power quality)
- (HC) High cycle (not currently monetized)



- (ST) Inter-seasonal storage (not currently monetized)
- (RL) Power reliability
- (TD) Transmission & distribution investment deferral
- (RE) Renewables integration
- (SC) Increasing self-consumption
- (PC) Peaking capacity
- (EA) Energy arbitrage
- (BS) Black start
- (DR) Demand charge reduction
- (CM) Congestion management
- (FS) Frequency response (ramping / inertia)
- (FG) Frequency regulation (power quality)
- (HC) High cycle (not currently monetized)



- (ST) Inter-seasonal storage (not currently monetized)
- (RL) Power reliability
- (TD) Transmission & distribution investment deferral
- (RE) Renewables integration
- (SC) Increasing self-consumption
- (PC) Peaking capacity
- (EA) Energy arbitrage
- (BS) Black start
- (DR) Demand charge reduction
- (CM) Congestion management
- (FS) Frequency response (ramping / inertia)
- (FG) Frequency regulation (power quality)
- (HC) High cycle (not currently monetized)



- (ST) Inter-seasonal storage (not currently monetized)
- (RL) Power reliability
- (TD) Transmission & distribution investment deferral
- (RE) Renewables integration
- (SC) Increasing self-consumption
- (PC) Peaking capacity
- (EA) Energy arbitrage
- (BS) Black start
- (DR) Demand charge reduction
- (CM) Congestion management
- (FS) Frequency response (ramping / inertia)
- (FG) Frequency regulation (power quality)
- (HC) High cycle (not currently monetized)

Where might other technologies fit in here?

- Depends on costs
- Depends on learning rates
- Depends on performance
- Depends on lifetime

All the insights and tools shown here are available in this book and website

"Essential for me as an investor to navigate this complex, fastpaced energy storage industry."

Investment Cost

Gerard Reid, Alexa Capital

User-friendly tools for custom analyses: www.EnergyStorage.ninja

"The go-to resource... exemplary in terms of academic rigour set in a real world context."

Jim Skea, Chair of the IPCC

OXFORD

MONETIZING ENERGY STORAGE

a toolkit to assess future cost and value

🛠 Energy Storage Ninja 🗵 🕸 Pro Loair Value Analysis Models Home About umulative cashflow iscounted cumulative cashflo Charging Value Analysis Ê 600 Dischargin 400 Peak domand - 60 Annual domand - 30 Moral Jacks Mills - 1 **Project Economics** Arbitrage System Value Project Economics Arbitrage System Need System Value **Cost Analysis Models** Cost Analysis Lifetime Cost End-of-85 \$2.12 Hearic data
Reference year
Dependence tale
Dependence tale Charging D 08M Competitiveness Investmen \$298.47 Landscape **Investment Cost** Lifetime Cost ech Competitiveness Competitive Landscape

OLIVER SCHMIDT • IAIN STAFFELL